

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,852		06/25/2003	Kenneth C. Wu	ASC-022CPCN	3708	
51414	7590	06/02/2006		EXAMINER		
GOODW	IN PROC	TER LLP	OWENS, DO	OWENS, DOUGLAS W		
PATENT.						
EXCHAN		=	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON,	MA 021	09-2881	2811	2811		
				DATE MAILED: 06/02/2000	DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/603,852	WU ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Douglas W. Owens	2811

	LAMINICI	Ait oille	ł
	Douglas W. Owens	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ne of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp a Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)		duaina ar aimhlifeina	the issues for
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing of simplifying	the issues to
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTO) 00 ()
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidar	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).	
12 Other:	·	. ,	
	O slark. B.		
	Wang were	Douglas W Owens	•
	Douglas 4. Owen 5/18/06	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2811	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not convincing. Applicant argues that Chu does not disclose a mask formed from a semiconductor material. There is no such limitation in the claims. The claims include the limitation of an "etch-stop layer comprising a semiconductor material." The silicon nitride mask taught by Chu, comprises the semiconductor material silicon as one of it's constituents.